

CMER MEETING AGENDA

January 23, 2018 • 9:00 AM – 4:00 PM

**Location: DNR/DOC Compound /Washington State Light Industrial Park
801 88th Avenue, Tumwater, WA 98512**

***Action Items**

| Time | Topic | Lead |
|--------------------|---|---|
| 9:00 am - 9:15 am | Introductions Agenda – Review for updates Review Assignments | All Hooks Hooks |
| 9:15 am – 10:15 am | <u>SCIENCE SESSION:</u> ♦ Presentation: Forested Wetlands Effectiveness Project (FWEP) ♦ Presentation: UPSAG Deep-Seated Landslide Research Strategy | Hough-Snee Maudlin/Dieu |
| | <u>Decisions:</u> LWAG ♦ *Hard Rock Phase-2 Extensive Report Format – approval ISAG ♦ *Request to use funding allocated for eDNA literature synthesis for Phase 1 of an eDNA project – That makes this meeting’s request a “request for review of re-allocation of funds into a specific proposed project SAGE ♦ *Request for review of Findings Report for the Fire Salvage Literature Review and Synthesis – assign reviewers Unstable Slope Criteria TWIG ♦ *Approval of revisions to study designs for Compare/Contrast Landslide Hazard Zonation Mass Wasting Map Units with RIL and Object-based Landform Mapping with High-Resolution Topography, and request to send document to ISPR – approval Westside Type F Riparian Prescription Monitoring Pilot Project TWIG ♦ *Request for CMER approval of ISPR approved report and review of Findings Report. – approval of ISPR approved report and request for review of findings report Forested Wetlands Effectiveness Project TWIG ♦ *Request to review Study Design – assign reviewers DISCUSSION: ♦ CMER Science Conference Presentations – recommendations and prioritization ♦ 2019-2021 Biennium Master Project Schedule ♦ Implementation of TWIG projects UPDATES: Report from Policy – January 4, 2018 meeting CMER ♦ 2018 Meeting Dates and Locations ♦ CMER Staff update ♦ Ongoing and Upcoming Reviews ♦ 2017 CMER Accomplishments ♦ Protocol and Standards Manual Chapter 8 ♦ Riparian Literature Synthesis SAG and TWIG Updates – answer questions on written updates Public Comment Period Recap of Assignments/Decisions | Hayes/Haemmerle Walter/Haemmerle Baldwin/Johnson Dieu/Johnson Schuett-Hames/Haemmerle Johnson Hooks/Shramek Berge Berge Berge Shramek Schuett-Hames Johnson Shramek Knoth/Roorbach Berge Johnson/Haemmerle Shramek |

A. General CMER Ground Rules

1. Each of the participants affirmed by the Board to CMER agree to these ground rules, which were developed collectively by CMER to ensure that CMER produces credible scientific results that have a broad base of support. These ground rules are specific to CMER and do not apply to any other portion of the Adaptive Management Program.

2. CMER core values are predicated upon the agreement of each CMER participant that adaptive management is based upon sound science. It is the responsibility of every participant to follow sound scientific principles and procedures.

3. Participants will also adhere to the purpose of the Adaptive Management Program:
... to provide science-based recommendations and technical information to assist the board in determining if and when it is necessary or advisable to adjust rules and guidance for aquatic resources to achieve resource goals and objectives. The goal of the program is to affect change when it is necessary or advisable to adjust rules and guidance to achieve the goals of the forests and fish report or other goals identified by the board. (WAC 222-12-045(1)).

4. Individual Policy positions are not the basis for CMER decisions, otherwise the credibility of CMER research can be questioned, resulting in CMER having failed in its function of providing accountable results to the Adaptive Management Program.

B. Specific CMER Ground Rules

1. CMER participants will engage in actions that promote productive meetings and will encourage the active participation of each individual member. Examples of these actions are:

- a. Speak to educate, listen to understand.
- b. Pursue win/win solutions.
- c. State motivations and justifications clearly. Discuss issues openly with all concerns on the table. Avoid hidden agendas.
- d. Ensure that each individual has a chance to be heard.
- e. Help others move tangent issues to appropriate venues by scheduling a time to discuss these issues later.
- f. Start and stop meetings on time.
- g. Take side conversations outside—listen respectfully.
- h. Define clear outcomes for each conversation and appoint a conversation manager.
- i. Be trusting and trustworthy.
- j. Acknowledge and appreciate the contributions of others, even when you disagree.

2. CMER participants agree to spend the time in preparation for meetings so that their participation is both meaningful and relevant and to refrain from participation when they are unprepared.

3. CMER participants agree to participate in the Adaptive Management Program's scientific dispute resolution process when consensus cannot be reached and to make a good faith effort to resolve the dispute.

4. CMER participants recognize that information and results are preliminary until the final report is approved by CMER. Products must be clearly labeled and presented as DRAFT until approved by CMER as a final product.

5. At no time shall any potential contractor for a project be involved in the drafting of an RFP, RFQ or SOW or in the selection of a contractor for that specific project.